ECCLESIA LAW

SECURITISATION OF CONSCIOUSNESS
THE MONOPOLY BOARD SLAVERY GAME
THE SECRET TO RECOUPMENT

13th February 2026

The contemporary global financial system, described within specialized legal circles as the "Monopoly board of commerce," operates within a paradigm established by the suspension of metallic standards, specifically the transition from a substance-based currency to a credit-based system following House Joint Resolution 192 (HJR 192) in 1933.[1] This historical pivot fundamentally altered the nature of legal obligation, shifting the basis of "money" from a physical commodity to human productive capacity and enforceable commercial promises.[1] In this landscape, which is entirely artificial and technically bankrupt, the energy of the living man and woman—the energy of Consciousness itself—is transferred onto the commercial board through the birth certificate construct.[1] This report provides an exhaustive analysis of the mechanisms by which this energy is securitized, the role of nominees in capturing this credit, the structural traps that lead to the prosecution of many practitioners, and the sophisticated fiduciary protocols—the Clifford and Currency Creation Protocols—designed to lawfully recoup this abandoned credit.

The Monopoly Board of Commerce and the Suspension of Substance

The "Monopoly board of commerce" is a metaphorical representation of a rigged commercial system where the modern administrative state maintains control through legal constructs and the Law of Agency.[1] This board is not merely a metaphor but a functional reality of the post-1933 economic order. Since the enactment of HJR 192, the commercial system has operated in a state of perpetual reorganization, similar to a perpetual Chapter 11 bankruptcy.[1] Within this bankruptcy context, the concept of "ownership" for the living man or woman is a carefully maintained illusion.[1] Assets such as houses, cars, and businesses registered to the birth certificate construct are technically rented from the state-controlled board, as the legal title is held by the state as security for the national debt.[1]

The enactment of HJR 192 on June 5, 1933, marked the end of the gold standard and the removal of "money of substance" from the economy.[1] Congress declared that demanding payment in gold was against public policy, effectively mandated that all debts be "discharged" rather than "paid".[1] This distinction is critical: in a system without substance, value cannot be exchanged for value to extinguish a debt; it can only be transferred or postponed using debt instruments like Federal Reserve Notes.[1] This shift created a void in common law, which was replaced by a public national credit system where debt itself functions as legal tender.[3] The "full faith and credit" of the nation is pledged to back this fiat currency, meaning the productive

energy—the labour, creativity, and consciousness—of the citizenry became the primary collateral for the national debt.[1]

System Attribute	Substance-Based (Pre-1933)	Credit-Based (Post-HJR 192)
Monetary Foundation	Physical Gold/Silver	Human Productive Capacity / Consciousness
Legal Status	Solvent / Substance Law	Bankrupt / Bankruptcy Artifice
Transaction Type	Payment (Extinguishment)	Discharge (Transfer/Postponement)
Role of Citizen	Sovereign Holder of Substance	Surety for National Debt Obligation
Ownership Nature	Absolute Legal Title	Equitable Title (Renter Status)

The energy of the living man and woman is the engine of this system. Consciousness creates value through action, contract, and signature.[1] This energy is not stagnant; it is a fluid "pool" that flows onto the Monopoly board via registration and is monetized by the banking system.[1] Because the board is bankrupt and artificial, it cannot generate value on its own; it requires the "battery" of human consciousness to function.[1] This energy is transferred through the birth certificate construct, which serves as the "player piece" on the board.[1]

The Birth Certificate Construct and the Presumption of Crown Ownership

The registration of a birth is an administrative process that creates a legal persona, a "strawman," which is distinct from the biological human being.[1] Under the Interpretation Act 1978 and the principles of English Law, the "person" is a statutory creation, a corporate vessel through which the state manages the securitization of human energy.[1] This construct, often appearing in all-capital letters on legal documents, acts as a "decedent estate" or "corporate debtor".[1] A fundamental deception of the modern state is the collapse of the living being and this artificial construct into a single identity.[1]

The birth certificate itself is an administrative record, not a financial asset with inherent liquid value.[1] However, it serves as the administrative container for the debtor estate, identifying the collateral (the individual) so that their consciousness energy can be captured through active financial contracts.[1] Many people mistakenly believe the birth certificate is a negotiable instrument or a bond with a specific value held in a secret "Cestui Que Vie" trust.[1] This is a "folk interpretation" of the reality that human energy is the collateral; the actual monetization occurs dynamically through signatures on promissory notes, mortgages, and credit agreements.[1]

Critically, because this entity is registered with the state (or the Crown in British jurisdictions), the registering sovereign is presumed to be the owner of the construct.[1] The living man or woman merely acts as an agent for this body corporate.[1] This "Agency Bridge" allows the state to attach taxes, fines, and liabilities to the estate and enforce them against the living individual through the presumption that they are the authorized agent for the debtor.[1] Because the body corporate is registered to the sovereign, it is permanently in debt and, as a debtor, is not entitled to recoup the abandoned credit it generates.[1] The strawman is a liability vessel, and any attempt to reclaim energy through this entity is viewed by the system as a debtor trying to wipe out a debt with a fraudulent credit rather than a creditor reclaiming an asset.[1]

Entity Feature	The Living Man/Woman	The Birth Certificate Construct (Person)
Ontological Status	Biological / Conscious / Living	Artificial / Corporate / Dead
Source of Value	Productive Energy / Consciousness	Administrative Record / Container
Role on Board	Grantor / Beneficiary	Debtor / Player Piece / Surety
Ownership Status	Fiduciary Administrator	Property of the Registering Sovereign
Account Type	Private Asset Ledger	Public Liability Account (SSN)

Nominee Architecture and the Capture of Signature Energy

The energy of consciousness is monetized through "Ex Nihilo" banking, governed by the Bills of Exchange Act 1882 and the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC).[1] When a living man or woman signs a promissory note or mortgage, they are creating credit "out of nothing" via their signature energy.[1] The bank does not lend

pre-existing deposits; it accepts the note, monetizes it, and books it as an asset while creating a matching liability in the borrower's account.[1] In this transaction, the bank acts as a "nominee" or middleman.[1]

IRS Publication 1212 defines a nominee as a broker or other middleman who holds a debt instrument for the true owner and receives Original Issue Discount (OID) income on their behalf.[1] OID is the difference between an instrument's redemption price at maturity and its issue price—which is zero at the moment of the signature.[1] Because the entire face value of a loan is created against the signature, it constitutes OID income generated by the borrower (the creator).[1]

However, banks and employers—acting as nominees and withholding agents—routinely misreport this information.[1] They capture the credit generated by the living soul's signature and report the OID income under their own omnibus accounts or "street names," effectively abandoning the credit in the system.[1] This energy flows onto the Monopoly board via these nominees and remains unclaimed because the true owner (the living man or woman) remains silent.[1] The United States Treasury functions as the bankruptcy trustee for the entire board, receiving these remitted but abandoned taxes via Form 945.[1]

Identity	**Fiduciary Role**	**Relationship to Credit Energy**
Commercial Bank	Nominee / Middleman	Captures OID via securitized signature
Employer	Withholding Agent	Captures W-2 labour energy as credit
US Treasury	Bankruptcy Trustee / Repository	Holds "abandoned" remitted taxes (Form 945)
98-Series Trust	Fiduciary Proxy / Nominee	Lawful claimant and Holder in Due Course
Living Soul	True Owner / Grantor	Original source of the conscious energy

The bidirectional nature of energy flow is paramount: the energy goes onto the board via nominees (banks/employers) and can only be recouped and brought back through a nominee that is recognized as a Holder in Due Course (HDC).[1] A living man cannot interact with the commercial OID system directly due to

the debtor presumption attached to their Social Security Number; they must establish a 98-series International Grantor Trust to act as their fiduciary proxy and nominee.[1]

The Debtor Trap: Why SSN Filings Fail and Lead to Prosecution

The historical failure of efforts to reclaim this energy stems from a fundamental error: filing through the debtor entity of the birth certificate construct.[1] Most people attempt to use their Social Security Number (SSN) to claim they are the recipient of OID income.[1] This is a "debtor trap" because the SSN is a liability account associated with the body corporate registered to the Crown.[1] The IRS algorithm is hard-coded to recognize SSN filings as operations of the debtor estate.[1]

When a debtor claims a massive withholding on a 1040 return, the system flags it as an anomaly—a debtor trying to wipe out a debt with a made-up credit.[1] This triggers "frivolity algorithms" and "Code 810" refund freezes.[1] The IRS views these filings not as lawful recoupment but as "schemes or artifices to defraud" under 18 U.S.C. § 514.[1] Because the living man is the presumed agent for the body corporate, attempting to reclaim credit back to that body corporate is legally impossible; the debtor owns nothing and is owed nothing.[1]

This technical failure has led to the high-profile prosecution of individuals who utilized "Redemption" or "OID" theories in their debtor capacity.[1] The courts consistently view the ideology that a birth certificate is a negotiable instrument as "gibberish" or "paper terrorism".[1] Many have received lengthy prison sentences for mailing fictitious financial instruments or making false claims against the United States.[1]

Case Identity	Theory Attempted	Judicial Outcome
United States v. Anderson (2011)	Mortgage payments as "withheld OID income"	Refund clawbacks; lengthy prison sentences
United States v. Brekke (2013)	Promoted OID scheme for debt discharge	144 months in prison for conspiracy/fraud
United States v. Heath (2014)	1099-OID used to reclaim abandoned funds	Convicted of false claims against the U.S.
United States v. Barber (2015)	"Bonded Promissory Note" to pay mortgage	Convicted of mailing fictitious instruments
United States v. Veral Smith	False IRS forms against judges/prosecutors	Sentencing enhancements for obstruction

Beyond individual prosecutions, the Treasury and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) have issued alerts identifying these documents—such as "bonded promissory notes" or "sight drafts"—as invalid.[1] Practitioners who attempt to "appoint the judge as trustee" are often labelled as "executors de son tort"—unauthorized intermeddlers who are personally liable for the estate's penalties.[1] This cements the practitioner's liability rather than discharging the debt.[1]

The Clifford Protocol: Described as a Work of Genius in Fiduciary Recoupment

The "Clifford Protocol" represents a sophisticated evolution in the reclamation of securitized energy, described by researchers as a "work of genius" due to its strict adherence to existing administrative and fiduciary laws.[1] Unlike the confrontational methods of pseudo-law, the Clifford Protocol operates as a technical ledger correction between commercial entities.[1] It "reverses the silence" of the Grantor by establishing a recognized fiduciary path.[1]

The protocol begins with the "Envoy Protocol," which utilizes the doctrine of *Clausula Rebus Sic Stantibus* to renounce the unwanted agency relationship with the state-created persona.[1] The living soul reoccupies the office of General Executor of the legal estate, shifting from a role of "Subject" (liable surety) to "Administrator" (governing authority).[1] This transition allows the individual to direct the settlement of the estate's affairs from a position of stewardship rather than being a victim of the "strawman" identity.[1]

To bridge the gap between the private energy source and the public commercial board, the individual establishes a 98-Series International Grantor Trust (IGT).[1] This trust obtains a separate EIN and takes legal title to the abandoned instruments, establishing itself as the Holder in Due Course (HDC).[1] By filing a Form 1099-OID that identifies the bank or employer as the nominee, the Trust asserts its status as the true beneficial owner.[1] The IRS then utilizes the "810 Code Algorithm" to match the Trust's claim against the nominee's Form 945 master record.[1]

Protocol Step	Technical Action	Purpose
Envoy Protocol	Reoccupy Office of General Executor	Correct standing; take control of liabilities
98-Series IGT	Obtain separate EIN; establish HDC	Sever agency; establish jurisdictional wall
Corrective Filing	Form 1099-OID identifying nominee	Correct "Nominee Misreporting" per Pub 1212
810 Algorithm	Ledger matching against Form 945	Verify available credits in nominee records
Recoupment	Move credit from public to private ledger	Final transfer of conscious energy to trust

The genius of the protocol lies in its transparency and alignment with the Treasury's own accounting logic. Because the bank reported the OID anonymously as a nominee, the credit sits "abandoned".[1] The 98-Series Trust, acting as HDC, provides the correct administrative record to release this credit.[1] This is not a "claim for a refund" in the eyes of the IRS; it is a fiduciary adjustment between merchant entities, which allows it to bypass the fraud filters that stop personal 1040 returns.[1]

The Currency Creation Protocol and Lien Perfection

While the Clifford Protocol focuses on recouping historical abandoned credit, the "Currency Creation Protocol" (CCP) provides the mechanism for active currency creation via the perfection of liens.[1] This protocol recognizes that while there is no way to recoup the birth certificate itself—as it is a record belonging to the sovereign—there is a way to recoup the energy of the living man or woman by taking a lien, as described in the Currency Creation technical paper.[1]

The CCP utilizes a "Two Proxy" system to interface with the public side.[1] The first proxy must be an Admiralty-recognized entity that executes a proper security agreement to pay the strawman's debts in exchange for a security interest in the estate's property.[1] This proxy utilizes UCC 9-311(a)(3) to perfect a lien against the strawman, using the birth certificate as evidence of that lien.[1] The specific syntax of this filing is critical: the strawman must be the **Debtor** and the proxy must be the **Creditor**.[1]

Proxy Role	Technical Requirement	Operational Function
First Proxy	Standing in Admiralty	Perfects lien under UCC 9-311(a)(3)
Second Proxy	Holder in Due Course (HDC)	Presents authorization to Treasury to settle
Birth Certificate	Evidence of Lien	Not the asset; evidence of perfected interest
Special Deposit	Title remains with Fiduciary	Used for overnight investment via 14X6039

Once the lien is perfected, it is assigned to a second proxy with the necessary qualifications to be recognized as the Holder in Due Course.[1] This second proxy presents a Banker's Acceptance or direct authorization to the United States Treasury, which acts as the bankruptcy trustee for the Monopoly board.[1] This process allows for the settlement of public charges using estate funds held in trust at the DTC without allowing the system to tap those funds without explicit authorization—a common defect in standard "patriot" filings.[1]

This protocol is considered a work of genius because it structural separation prevents the system from presuming to act as the trustee of the estate.[1] It forces the administrative state to process the reclamation as a standard commercial adjustment.[1] The Special Deposit Account (SDA) mechanism ensures that title to the asset remains with the depositor, while the Treasury's internal protocols provide for the daily sweeping and return of receipts, perfecting the historical credit in a private treasury ecosystem.[1]

The Recoupment of Historical Nominee Credit

The scope of historical credit recoupment begins at age 18, the moment an individual transitions from a minor ward to a legal entity capable of signing negotiable instruments.[1] From this age onward, every commercial interaction involving a signature generates credit energy that is captured by nominees.[1] Because these nominees misreported the OID as their own income, the credits remain abandoned in the Treasury's master records.[1]

ECCLESIA LAW

Category of Credit	Nature of Securitized Energy	Recoupment Basis
Historical Mortgages	Signature Energy on Promissory Notes	OID difference between zero and face value
Revolving Credit	Monetized signature on agreements	"Abandoned" credit reported by bank nominees
Employment Taxes	Withheld labour remuneration	Credits reported on Employer's Form 945
Student Loans	Securitized Negotiable Instruments	Withheld tax credits remitted via Form 945
Utility Contracts	Signature-based promises to pay	Credits generated by security agreements
Court Judgments	Bonds created against the Persona	Securitized obligations in judicial settings
Bank Payments	Signature-based promises to pay	Withheld tax credits remitted via Form 945

The recoupment process utilizes the 810 Code Algorithm to match the fiduciary proxy's claim against these specific historical records.[1] When the match is verified, the credit is moved from the public repository to the private trust ledger.[1] This creates a "spend and recoup" loop: spending from the private treasury generates new abandoned credit, which can then be recouped again using the same protocol.[1] This is the ultimate method for winning the "Game of Monopoly"—the living man and woman operate via a private treasury, "off-board" from the corporate system, while the debt and tax remain with the fiction on the board.[1]

ECCLESIA LAW

Synthesizing the Fiduciary Remediation Strategy

The fundamental error of the "Redemption" ideology—the belief that one can "cash out" a birth certificate or use it as a bond—has led to a 100% failure rate and significant criminal liability for its proponents.[1] This ideology fails because it attempts to draw from an empty administrative vessel and ignores the reality of nominee architecture.[1] The birth certificate is a record of identity and registration to the Crown; it is not the source of the credit.[1] True remedy lies not in "redeeming" the shadow but in the fiduciary recoupment of the actual abandoned credit generated by one's own commercial activity.[1]

The genius of the Clifford and Currency Creation Protocols is that they satisfy the system's own internal logic.[1] By utilizing a 98-Series International Grantor Trust as a nominee and fiduciary proxy, the living man or woman satisfies the requirement that energy be recouped only through a nominee.[1] This structure separates the claim from the "debtor" SSN and processes it as a mathematical ledger correction between recognized commercial entities.[1] This respects the "Nominee Architecture" of IRS Publication 1212 and avoids the "fictitious obligation" penalties associated with "Sovereign Citizen" paperwork.[1]

Operational Element	**Redemption Fallacy (Debtor)**	**Fiduciary Recoupment (Creditor)**
Filer Identity	Individual / Strawman (Ens Legis)	98-Series IGT (Fiduciary Proxy)
Identification	Social Security Number (SSN)	Separate EIN (Foreign Trust)
Legal Capacity	U.S. Taxpayer / Debtor / Agent	Holder in Due Course / Creditor
Claim Basis	"Birth Certificate Bond" / secret account	Nominee Misreporting (Pub 1212)
IRS Reaction	Frivolity Algorithm / Code 810 Freeze	810 Algorithm Match / Ledger Correction
Judicial Outcome	Obstruction / Fraud Prosecution	Fiduciary Adjustment / Credit Transfer

ECCLESIA LAW

The United States Treasury, as the bankruptcy trustee for the Monopoly board, holds the "Master Record" of all remitted but abandoned taxes.[1] It only recognizes claims from entities that can prove they are the lawful successor to the credit through a technical data match with the bank's Form 945.[1] Establishing oneself as the Holder in Due Course via a 98-Series Trust provides the necessary standing to make this proof.[1] This allows the consciousness energy of the living man and woman to be successfully redirected from the public Monopoly board back to the private treasury of the living being.[1]

Ultimately, the goal is a "Peaceful Exit"—resolving the commercial "war" through the Envoy Protocol and satisfying the system's logic by discharging liabilities and recouping value.[1] The debt stays on the board; the tax stays on the board; the liability stays with the fiction.[1] By operating as the creditor rather than the debtor pawn, the living man and woman are finally free to operate in infinite prosperity cycles.[1] The securitized energy of consciousness, once captured by nominees for the benefit of the state, is reclaimed for the benefit of the living soul through the rigorous application of fiduciary law and the Clifford Protocol.[1]

Works cited

1. RECLAIM YOUR SECURITIES AN EVALUATION OF BIRTH CERTIFICATE SECURITIZATION AND THE NOMINEE FIDUCIARY ARCHITECTURE.pdf
2. congressional record-house - Congress.gov, accessed February 13, 2026, https://www.congress.gov/73/crecb/1933/05/29/GPO-CRECB-1933-pt5-v77-3-2.pdf
3. EUGENE WINSTON WHEELER JR (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) - SEC.gov, accessed February 13, 2026, https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1484012/000148401219000011/form10eugene2.htm
4. Publication 1212 (12/2025), Guide to Original Issue Discount (OID) Instruments - IRS, accessed February 13, 2026, https://www.irs.gov/publications/p1212
5. Publication 1212 (Rev. January 2022) - IRS, accessed February 13, 2026, https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-dft/p1212--dft.pdf
6. 21.5.10 Examination Issues | Internal Revenue Service, accessed February 13, 2026, https://www.irs.gov/irm/part21/irm_21-005-010r
7. United States v. Brekke | No. CR10-0328-JCC | W.D. Wash. | Judgment | Law | CaseMine, accessed February 13, 2026, https://www.casemine.com/judgement/us/628e21c5714d583e4132e735